

There follows the outcome of recent Inquiries heard before the Statutory Committee of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain.

Monday 23, Tuesday 24, Wednesday 25 and Thursday 26 July 2007

1. Following the inquiry into [Mr Ian Anthony McAsey](#) (Registration no. 85173) the Committee directed the removal of Mr McAsey's name from the Register. The Committee found proved the allegation that the authorisation and subsequent receiving of payments of £212 and £336, which he was not entitled to, whilst working as a locum pharmacist and the subsequent failure to report or repay the amount, amounted to misconduct. Under Section 11 of the Pharmacy Act 1954, the direction to remove Mr McAsey's name from the Register is not to take effect until the expiration of a period of three months from the date on which notice of removal was given or in a case where an appeal has been brought against the direction, until the appeal is determined or withdrawn.
2. Following an Inquiry into [Mr Nathan Simpson](#) (Registration no. 1077828) the Committee resolved to issue Mr Simpson with a reprimand. The Committee found proved the allegation that the creation of a personal website whilst a pre-registration student in which he: used the initials MRPharmS after his surname when he was not entitled to do so; included directional links to other websites which contained pornographic material and/or other material that others might find offensive, amounted to misconduct.
3. Following an Inquiry into [Mr Mohammed Shabir](#) (Registration no. 87816) the Committee directed the removal of Mr Shabir's name from the Register. The Committee found proved the allegation that dispensing or causing to be dispensed to members of the public medication which had previously been dispensed to patients in a care home and which had been subsequently returned unused by the care home to the pharmacy; instructing a dispenser to use such returned medication from the care home for community patients, telling her that these people would not understand the significance of the numbers written on the packaging; failing to ensure (a) that controlled drugs were kept in a locked cabinet, safe or room; (b) the safety and quality of medicines supplied to patients; (c) that returned medicines were not supplied to patients; (d) that pharmaceutical waste was segregated from pharmacy stock; and (e) that batch and expiry details were included on all stocks of medicines and that pharmaceutical waste was promptly transferred to disposal containers, amounted to misconduct. The Committee also found proved a conviction at the Leeds Crown Court of seven counts of false accounting contrary to section 17 (1) (a) of the Theft Act 1968 and six counts of obtaining a money transfer by deception contrary to section 15 A of the Theft Act 1968. Under Section 11 of the Pharmacy Act 1954, the direction to remove Mr Shabir's name from the Register is not to take effect until the expiration of a period of three months from the date on which notice of removal was given or in a case where an appeal has been brought against the direction, until the appeal is determined or withdrawn.
4. Following an Inquiry into [Mr Peter Howard Freeman](#) (Registration no. 86570) and P.H. Freeman Ltd (Identification no. 1003556) the Committee resolved to issue both Mr Freeman and P.H. Freeman Ltd with a reprimand. The Committee found proved the allegation that signing and submitting to Leeds West PCT on or about 15 April 2005 a Fitness to Practise declaration containing information which Mr Freeman knew to be false; signing and submitting to South Leeds PCT on or about 17 April 2005 a Fitness to Practise declaration containing information which Mr Freeman knew to be

false; and, failing to disclose in a letter to Leeds West PCT (a) the Company's conviction on 12 January 1999 , (b) Mr Freeman's conviction on 12 January 1999 and (c) Mr Freeman's conviction on 19 February 2004, amounted to misconduct.

Fitness to Practise Committees Secretariat