

There follows the outcome of recent Inquiries heard before the Statutory Committee of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain.

**Tuesday 20, Wednesday 21 and Thursday 22 February 2007:**

1. Following the Inquiry into a complaint by the Council of the Society against Mr [Stephen Shaw](#) (Registration no. 74695) the Committee directed the removal of Mr Shaw's name from the Register. The Committee found proved the allegation that the creation and submission of two false references to a locum agency for the purposes of seeking employment as a pharmacist through that agency amounted to misconduct. Under Section 11 of the Pharmacy Act 1954, the direction to remove Mr Shaw's name from the Register is not to take effect until the expiration of a period of three months from the date on which notice of removal was given or where an appeal has been brought against the direction, until the appeal is determined or withdrawn.
2. Following the Inquiry into a complaint by the Council of the Society against Mrs Rosalie Mary Pattison (Registration no. 66575) the Committee directed the removal of Mrs Pattison's name from the Register. The Committee found proved the allegation that taking for the purposes of self medication and/or for unknown purposes, dishonestly and without the authority of a prescription, Dihydrocodiene tablets, Loperamide tablets, Diazepam 5mg and 10mg tablets, Omeprazole tablets, and Sudefed Plus tablets belonging to her employer, amounted to misconduct. Under Section 11 of the Pharmacy Act 1954, the direction to remove Mrs Pattison's name from the Register is not to take effect until the expiration of a period of three months from the date on which notice of removal was given or where an appeal has been brought against the direction, until the appeal is determined or withdrawn.
3. Following the Inquiry into a complaint by the Council of the Society against Mr [Anilkumar Ishverbhai Patel](#) (Registration no. 70633) and Mrs [Hemantika Anil Patel](#) (Registration no. 71179) the Committee directed the removal of Mr Patel's and Mrs Patel's names from the Register. The Committee found proved the allegation that the alteration of the dosage instructions for a patient without seeking the prescriber's authorisation; the making of a deliberately false record in the pharmacy diary of a conversation with a doctor; the failure to take proper or sufficient steps in response to an enquiry from the above patient's mother regarding the change of dosage; a number of dispensing errors; the failure to take proper or sufficient steps in response to dispensing errors; deliberately misleading a patient regarding the cause of the dispensing errors; deliberately making a false entry in the pharmacy diary in relation to the above; a number of labelling errors; the supply of a controlled drug other than in accordance with the Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2001; a failure to supply Piriton Syrup without an explanation and misleading a patient with regards to this failed supply; the failure to supply the correct quantity of medication in circumstances where no owing slip was supplied; the making of inaccurate records in the PMR; a failure to have adequate systems in the pharmacy; the pharmacy's supply of sugar-free Methadone in response to prescriptions calling for Methadone; a failure to heed the advice of the Society's Inspector in relation to extemporaneous production of Methadone and to the use of medicinal products which did not bear any marketing authorisation; the use of unlicensed Senna to dispense prescriptions;

the failure to ensure that dates of opening were recorded on medication with limited shelf-life; the presence in the dispensary of loose capsules and loose and cut blister strips and capsules of unknown provenance and a failure to heed the advice of the Society's Inspector in this regard; the presence in the dispensary of manufacturers' boxes containing strips of tablets and capsules some of which had no batch numbers and/or expiry dates; the presence in the dispensary of manufacturers' boxes containing strips of tablets and capsules of a brand differing from those marked on the manufacturer's box and a failure to heed the advice of the Society's Inspector in relation to the above; and the presence in the storage area of empty medicine bottles available for use which were uncapped and vulnerable to contamination, amounted to misconduct. Under Section 11 of the Pharmacy Act 1954, the direction to remove Mr Patel's and Mrs Patel's names from the Register is not to take effect until the expiration of a period of three months from the date on which notice of removal was given or where an appeal has been brought against the direction, until the appeal is determined or withdrawn.

4. Following the application for restoration to the Register on behalf of Mr [Hasmukhkant Nanji Badiani](#), the Committee directed that Mr Badiani should be restored to the Register.
5. The Committee adjourned the Inquiry into Mr Neil Hutchinson (Registration no. 79982) and Mrs Carol Janette Hutchinson (Registration no. 79981).

David Gomez  
Secretary to the Statutory Committee