

There follows the outcome of recent Inquiries heard before the Statutory Committee of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain.

Monday 26, Tuesday 27, Wednesday 28 and Thursday 29 June 2006:

1. The resumed Inquiry into a complaint by the Council of the Society against Mr Richard Gregory Woodroffe (Registration no. 68919); Mrs Margaret Mary Rita Gorman (Registration no. 67793); and Alan Woodcock (Company Identification no. 1001329) was adjourned for the Committee to deliver their determination at a later date.
2. Following the Inquiry into a complaint by the Council of the Society against Mr [Dinesh Parmar](#) (Registration no. 74869), the Committee resolved to direct the removal of Mr Parmar's name from the Register. The Committee found proved the Council's allegation that the repeated supply of laxatives and/or diuretics to a patient who Mr Parmar knew or ought to have known was vulnerable to abuse of those medications; the failure to ensure that the pharmacy premises were safe for the public and/or persons working there; the failure to ensure that all stock was stored appropriately; and the failure to heed the advice of a Society Inspector, amounted to misconduct. The Committee also found proved the following convictions: the supply of a medicinal product, namely Frusemide, without a prescription given by an appropriate practitioner, contrary to s58(2)(a) and s67(2) of the Medicines Act 1968; the supply of a medicinal product, namely Frusemide, in a container not labelled identifying the contents and giving direction as to use, contrary to s85(3) and 91(2) of the Medicines Act 1968; the sale of 5 ampoules of Diamorphine 10mls, a medicinal product which was not of the nature or quality demanded by the purchaser in that it was out of date, contrary to s64(1) of the Medicines Act 1968. Under Section 11 of the Pharmacy Act 1954, the direction to remove Mr Parmar's name from the Register is not to take effect until the expiration of a period of three months from the date on which notice of removal was given or in a case where an appeal has been brought against the direction, until the appeal is determined or withdrawn.
3. The Inquiry into a complaint by the Council of the Society against Mr Korush Jalali Farahani (Registration no. 1067185) was adjourned for three months.
4. Following the Inquiry into a complaint by the Council of the Society against [James Dyan Laurence](#) (Registration no. 84262) and Ms [Julia Ruth Harrison](#) (Registration no. 85697), the Committee resolved to issue Mr Laurence with an admonition and to take no further action against Ms Harrison. The Committee found proved, in relation to Mr Laurence, the Council's allegation that a failure to have in place a suitable system for the delivery of medicines, the supply of Prescription Only Medicines without the authority of valid prescriptions, and having in place a system which permitted the supply of Prescription Only Medicines to patients in circumstances where the prescriptions had not been signed by the time of supply, amounted to misconduct.
5. Following the Inquiry into a complaint by the Council of the Society against Mr [Manherlal Keshavlal Shah](#) (Registration no. 63471) (see also [Alert notice](#)) and [MAM International Ltd](#) (Identification no. 1001688), the Committee resolved to direct the removal of Mr Shah's name from the Register and to take no further action against MAM

International Ltd. The Committee found proved the Council's allegation that the supply of out of date medicines; the supply of Enalapril tablets on which there was no expiry date or batch number; a failure to implement adequate systems for the removal of out of date medicines; the presence in the dispensary of medicines where the date of expiry and batch number were not present and/or had been removed; the presence in the dispensary of medicines labelled years previously from other pharmacies for various patients which were stored amongst the other, alphabetically arranged, current stock, indicating that they might be reused; and the presence of a number of European products which did not bear a produce licence number, amounted to misconduct. Under Section 11 of the Pharmacy Act 1954, the direction to remove Mr Shah's name from the Register is not to take effect until the expiration of a period of three months from the date on which notice of removal was given or in a case where an appeal has been brought against the direction, until the appeal is determined or withdrawn.

6. The Inquiry into Mr [Francis John Smialowski](#) (Registration no. 70425) was stayed following the direction of the Chairman.
7. Following the Inquiry into Mr Daniel Charles Jenkins (Registration no. 76428), who was convicted of driving a motor vehicle after consuming so much alcohol that the proportion of it in his breath exceeded the prescribed limit, contrary to s5(1)(a) of the Road Traffic Act 1988, the Committee resolved to take no further action.

David Gomez
Secretary to the Statutory Committee
7 July 2006