

# Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain

## Summary of an Independent Prescribing Conversion Accreditation Report

### University of Leeds

#### 1. Summary and Conclusions

Following the submission of accreditation documents in October 2006, the reviewers recommend to the Education Committee that the programme should be accredited by the Society for a period of three years. The reviewers complimented the university on the clarity of their application which was thorough and well set out. The reviewers were also pleased to note that the arrangements for teaching pharmacists were in a multi-professional environment. The university will formally be informed of the Society's decision in due course.

#### 2. Conditions

The reviewers recommend that accreditation by the Society should be subject to the following conditions which must be met by the time the first cohort of students complete the programme.

- 2a. The university must provide evidence to the Society of how they will confirm that the DMP has training and experience appropriate to the role, upon which the Society reserves the right to comment (this relates to criterion 1.2.4).
- 2b. The university must confirm the QAA level at which the course will be taught (this relates to criterion 1.3.1)
- 2c. The university must supply the Society with a copy of the declaration form to be completed by the DMP, which includes the declaration the pharmacist has satisfactorily completed at least 2x7.5h days supervised practice and that that the pharmacist's practice as a prescriber is, in the opinion of the DMP, suitable for registration as an independent prescriber. (this relates to criteria 1.4.3 and 1.4.4)
- 2d. The university must supply the Society with a more specific description of how the portfolio assessment will demonstrate the learning outcomes, upon which the Society reserves the right to comment. (this relates to criteria 1.5.4)
- 2e. The university must supply the Society with the criteria for pass and fail of the portfolio and other elements of the assessment, together with details of the resit arrangements which will be allowed within the postgraduate regulations of the university. (criteria 1.5.5) In addition the university must supply the Society with details of how a major failure to identify a serious problem or an answer which would cause the patient harm will be handled. (this relates to criteria 1.5.6) The Society reserves the right to comment on these responses.

#### 3. Recommendations

There were no recommendations for this accreditation event.

The course will not formally be accredited until the accreditation panel's recommendations have been endorsed by the Society's Education Committee.

Satisfactory evidence was subsequently provided to meet the above conditions of accreditation; following this the programme was approved for accreditation by the Society's Education Committee for a period of three years.