

# Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain

## Summary of an Independent Prescribing Conversion Accreditation Report

### Kings College, London

#### 1. Summary and Conclusions

Following the submission of accreditation documents in November 2006, the reviewers recommend to the Education Committee that the programme should be accredited by the Society for a period of three years. The reviewers complimented the university on the clarity of their application. The reviewers also commended the University on the structure and content of the student workbook and guide for the DMP. The university will formally be informed of the Society's decision in due course.

#### 2. Conditions

The reviewers recommend that accreditation by the Society should be subject to the following conditions which must be met before first cohort of students enter the programme.

- 2a. The university must provide the Society with a map that relates the Society's learning outcomes to the assessment outcomes in the student handbook.
- 2b. The arrangements for teaching students the clinical examination skills and clinical assessment (diagnostic) skills required for the condition(s) for which the pharmacist intends to prescribe must be explicit and clear to the university, DMP and student. (This relates to criteria 1.3.4 and 1.3.7)
- 2c. Students must be advised that the minimum time for achievement of their personal learning objectives is two days but may take longer (this relates to criterion 1.3.4)
- 2d. The professional declaration completed by the DMP must confirm that the pharmacist has *satisfactorily* completed two days in practice and that *the pharmacist's practice as an independent prescriber is, in the opinion of the DMP, suitable for registration as an independent prescriber*. (This relates to criterion 1.4.4)
- 2e. Further information on the selection and use of marking criteria must be supplied to the Society. The Society reserves the right to comment on these. (This relates to criterion 1.5.3)
- 2f. The university must confirm how they will deal with a student who demonstrates a potentially dangerous failure in prescribing or patient care. (This relates to criterion 1.5.6)

#### 3. Recommendations

There were no recommendations for this accreditation event.

The course will not formally be accredited until the accreditation panel's recommendations have been endorsed by the Society's Education Committee.

Satisfactory evidence was subsequently provided to meet the above conditions of accreditation; following this the programme was approved for accreditation by the Society's Education Committee for a period of three years.