

# Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain

## Summary of an Independent Prescribing Conversion Accreditation Report

### University of Glamorgan

#### 1. Summary and Conclusions

Following the submission of accreditation documents in February 2007, the reviewers recommend to Education Committee that the programme should be accredited by the Society for a period of three years. The reviewers were pleased to note that the arrangements for teaching pharmacists were in a multi-professional environment. The reviewers found the application difficult to assess as not all questions were answered. References to page numbers in documents e.g. the handbook were not as stated. The reviews also found typographical errors in the document. The university will formally be advised of the Society's decision in due course.

#### 2. Conditions

The reviewers recommend that accreditation by the Society should be subject to the following conditions which must be met before the first cohort of students commence the programme. The Society reserves the right to comment further on the additional information provided.

- 2a. The university must amend the student handbook and other documents to confirm that the entry criteria include the criterion that entrant's annotation as a supplementary prescriber is for not more than five years and that pharmacists are registered with the RPSGB or PSNI (this relates to criterion 1.2.1).
- 2b. The university must confirm that entrants will have to provide a statement of support from a medical practitioner that confirms their competence as a supplementary prescriber (this relates to criterion 1.2.3).
- 2c. The university must confirm that the programme will meet the learning outcome "able to use diagnostic aids relevant to the condition(s) for which the prescriber intends to prescribe, including monitoring response to therapy". The module descriptor, student handbook and Practice Portfolio currently list only 5 of the 6 required additional learning outcomes for Independent Prescribing (this relates to criterion 1.3.4)
- 2d. The university must amend the Practice Portfolio and OSCE Guide replacing the heading of Supplementary Prescribing on page 3. The Practice Portfolio Self Assessment and Action Plan requires similar amendment.
- 2e. The university must confirm that failure in the period of learning in practice cannot be compensated by performance in other assessments (this relates to criterion 1.5.3)

#### 3. Recommendations

There were no recommendations for this accreditation event.

The course will not formally be accredited until the accreditation panel's recommendations have been endorsed by the Society's Education Committee.

Satisfactory evidence was subsequently provided to meet the above conditions of accreditation; following this the programme was approved for accreditation by the Society's Education Committee for a period of three years.